

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 IN RE: STEVEN WAYNE BONILLA
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

No. 2:25-cv-00680-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00681-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00790-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00803-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00828-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00829-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00831-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00833-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00838-WBS-CSK
No. 2:25-cv-00839-WBS-CSK

23 **ORDER**
24
25


26 Plaintiff Steven Wayne Bonilla is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in the
27 above-captioned civil actions. On November 29, 2018, the Court declared Plaintiff a vexatious
28 litigant and ordered the Clerk of the Court not to file or assign a civil case number to any

1 proposed habeas corpus petition or civil action related to Plaintiff's criminal conviction in
2 Alameda County. (See Bonilla v. Fresno County, Case No. 2: 18-cv-2544-TLN-KJN, ECF No.
3 13). On June 14, 2023, the Court modified its vexatious litigant Order and directed the Clerk of
4 the Court to open a new case for each attempted new pleading and assign it to the Court for
5 review. (Id. at ECF No. 26.) If the Court determines the new filing is related to Plaintiff's
6 Alameda County criminal conviction, the case will be ordered dismissed and closed. (Id.)

7 The Court has reviewed the complaints/petitions filed in the above-captioned cases and
8 finds they are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal conviction.¹

9 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that 2:25-cv-00680, 2:25-cv-00681, 2:25-cv-
10 00790, 2:25-cv-00803, 2:25-cv-00828, 2:25-cv-00829, 2:25-cv-00831, 2:25-cv-00833, 2:25-cv-
11 00838 and 2:25-cv-00839 are DISMISSED; the Clerk of the Court is directed to close these cases.
12 No further filings will be accepted.

13 Dated: March 31, 2025


14 WILLIAM B. SHUBB
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24
25 ¹ In the caption of 2:25-cv-00833, plaintiff names the Shasta County Superior Court. In the
26 captions of 2:25-cv-00838 and 2:25-cv-00839, plaintiff names the Mono County Superior Court.
27 To the extent plaintiff intended to raise the claims raised in these cases in the United States
28 District Court for the Eastern District of California, this Court finds that the claims raised in 2:25-
cv-00833, 2:25-cv-00838 and 2:25-cv-00839 are related to Plaintiff's Alameda County criminal
conviction.